

But death is *not* the end. And it has *not* been occurring for millions of years. Death only entered the world when Adam sinned (Romans 5:12). It is an *enemy* (1 Cor 15:26), an intrusion into God's *perfect* creation (Genesis 1:31). When Adam sinned, he became *separated* from God, a legacy of death that was passed on to all of his descendants. This is why we must be reconciled to God. "*For the wages of sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord*" (Romans 6:23). Jesus died for us and then *rose from the dead*, overturning Adam's legacy, that all who trust in Him may receive eternal life (John 3:16). Contrary to evolutionary theory, life *does* have meaning. It is *precious* in God's sight, right from the beginning:

"For thou hast possessed my reins: thou hast covered me in my mother's womb. I will praise thee; for I am fearfully and wonderfully made: marvelous are thy works; and that my soul knoweth right well. My substance was not hid from thee, when I was made in secret, and curiously wrought in the lowest parts of the earth. Thine eyes did see my substance, yet being unperfect: and in thy book all my members were written, which in continuance were fashioned, when as yet there was none of them." (Psalm 139:13-16).

Would you like to know more about "Creation vs Evolution" and how deeply this issue affects society and your life in particular?

Check out ~ (a) [www.AnswersInGenesis.org](http://www.answersingenesis.org)
(b) www.icr.org
(c) www.theberean.org

Visit our website at:

<http://www.freechristiantracts.info>

But death is *not* the end. And it has *not* been occurring for millions of years. Death only entered the world when Adam sinned (Romans 5:12). It is an *enemy* (1 Cor 15:26), an intrusion into God's *perfect* creation (Genesis 1:31). When Adam sinned, he became *separated* from God, a legacy of death that was passed on to all of his descendants. This is why we must be reconciled to God. "*For the wages of sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord*" (Romans 6:23). Jesus died for us and then *rose from the dead*, overturning Adam's legacy, that all who trust in Him may receive eternal life (John 3:16). Contrary to evolutionary theory, life *does* have meaning. It is *precious* in God's sight, right from the beginning:

"For thou hast possessed my reins: thou hast covered me in my mother's womb. I will praise thee; for I am fearfully and wonderfully made: marvelous are thy works; and that my soul knoweth right well. My substance was not hid from thee, when I was made in secret, and curiously wrought in the lowest parts of the earth. Thine eyes did see my substance, yet being unperfect: and in thy book all my members were written, which in continuance were fashioned, when as yet there was none of them." (Psalm 139:13-16).

Would you like to know more about "Creation vs Evolution" and how deeply this issue affects society and your life in particular?

Check out ~ (a) [www.AnswersInGenesis.org](http://www.answersingenesis.org)
(b) www.icr.org
(c) www.theberean.org

Visit our website at:

<http://www.freechristiantracts.info>

abortion

AND EVOLUTION

WHEN DOES HUMAN LIFE BEGIN? Many feel this is a question of religious opinion, but it is actually a *scientific* question – and one that has been answered decisively. For example, in 1981 the United States Congress conducted hearings on this issue at which internationally known scientists testified that human life begins at conception. A Senate report concluded:

"Physicians, biologists, and other scientists agree that conception marks the beginning of the life of a human being... there is overwhelming agreement on this point in countless medical, biological, and scientific writings."

At these hearings, abortion advocates were invited to produce expert witnesses to testify that human life begins at some point *after* conception, but they failed to do so.

abortion

AND EVOLUTION

WHEN DOES HUMAN LIFE BEGIN? Many feel this is a question of religious opinion, but it is actually a *scientific* question – and one that has been answered decisively. For example, in 1981 the United States Congress conducted hearings on this issue at which internationally known scientists testified that human life begins at conception. A Senate report concluded:

"Physicians, biologists, and other scientists agree that conception marks the beginning of the life of a human being... there is overwhelming agreement on this point in countless medical, biological, and scientific writings."

At these hearings, abortion advocates were invited to produce expert witnesses to testify that human life begins at some point *after* conception, but they failed to do so.

Despite the wealth of evidence cited in such reports, pro-abortionists refuse to acknowledge the scientific facts. For example, in order to further his pro-abortion agenda, the late humanistic evolutionist Carl Sagan took pains to describe the developing human embryo as anything but human:

"It sucks blood from the capillaries. It establishes itself as a kind of parasite on the walls of the uterus... By the third week... it looks a little like a segmented worm... By the end of the fourth week it looks something like a newt or a tadpole... By the sixth week, the... eyes are still on the side of the head, as in most animals... the reptilian face... By the end of the seventh week the... face is mammalian, but somewhat pig-like... By the end of the eighth week, the face resembles a primate, but is still not quite human..." [Carl Sagan, *Parade Magazine*, 22/04/90, p. 5-6].

This is emotional rhetoric, not science. The fact remains that the testimony of the scientific community (whether religious or not) has *overwhelmingly* established that human life begins at conception (for additional quotes and extensive documentation see *"The Facts on Abortion"*, Ankerberg & Weldon, Harvest House, 1995, p. 6-11). **Why is this point so important? Because if human life begins at conception, then abortion is murder.** And murder is sin, because unlike animals, humans are created *in the image of God* (Genesis 1:27). Those who commit murder show contempt for the image of God in their fellow human beings (Genesis 9:6).

So what does abortion have to do with evolution? Evolution is used to *justify* abortion in a number of ways...

Despite the wealth of evidence cited in such reports, pro-abortionists refuse to acknowledge the scientific facts. For example, in order to further his pro-abortion agenda, the late humanistic evolutionist Carl Sagan took pains to describe the developing human embryo as anything but human:

"It sucks blood from the capillaries. It establishes itself as a kind of parasite on the walls of the uterus... By the third week... it looks a little like a segmented worm... By the end of the fourth week it looks something like a newt or a tadpole... By the sixth week, the... eyes are still on the side of the head, as in most animals... the reptilian face... By the end of the seventh week the... face is mammalian, but somewhat pig-like... By the end of the eighth week, the face resembles a primate, but is still not quite human..." [Carl Sagan, *Parade Magazine*, 22/04/90, p. 5-6].

This is emotional rhetoric, not science. The fact remains that the testimony of the scientific community (whether religious or not) has *overwhelmingly* established that human life begins at conception (for additional quotes and extensive documentation see *"The Facts on Abortion"*, Ankerberg & Weldon, Harvest House, 1995, p. 6-11). **Why is this point so important? Because if human life begins at conception, then abortion is murder.** And murder is sin, because unlike animals, humans are created *in the image of God* (Genesis 1:27). Those who commit murder show contempt for the image of God in their fellow human beings (Genesis 9:6).

So what does abortion have to do with evolution? Evolution is used to *justify* abortion in a number of ways...

For example, if life originally occurred "by accident", then it has no moral purpose anyway; if death and bloodshed have been occurring for "millions of years", then death is normal; if we're all just "animals", then killing unwanted babies is not much different from killing stray cats. Another evolutionary myth came from Ernst Haeckel (1834-1919), who taught that the human embryo retraces its evolutionary history. This deliberate lie has resulted in countless women being told they are merely aborting animals, not babies. Further, when people believe humans are animals, we shouldn't be surprised to hear quotes like this one by a famous animal rights activist and ardent evolutionist:

"Mental defectives do not have a right to life, and therefore might be killed for food – if we should develop a taste for human flesh – or for the purpose of scientific experimentation." [Professor Peter Singer].

There is yet another way in which the evolutionary worldview may be used to justify killing. If we are just the result of chance random processes occurring over millions of years, then there is no such thing as a God to whom we are accountable, which makes it that much easier to commit murder without fear of eternal consequences. For example, consider how a famous serial killer found solace in the theory of evolution:

"If a person doesn't think there is a God to be accountable to, then what's the point of trying to modify your behavior to keep it within an acceptable range? That's how I thought, anyway. I always believed the theory of evolution is truth, that we all just came from slime. When we died, you know, that was it, there's nothing." [Jeffrey Dahmer, NBC interview]

For example, if life originally occurred "by accident", then it has no moral purpose anyway; if death and bloodshed have been occurring for "millions of years", then death is normal; if we're all just "animals", then killing unwanted babies is not much different from killing stray cats. Another evolutionary myth came from Ernst Haeckel (1834-1919), who taught that the human embryo retraces its evolutionary history. This deliberate lie has resulted in countless women being told they are merely aborting animals, not babies. Further, when people believe humans are animals, we shouldn't be surprised to hear quotes like this one by a famous animal rights activist and ardent evolutionist:

"Mental defective do not have a right to life, and therefore might be killed for food – if we should develop a taste for human flesh – or for the purpose of scientific experimentation." [Professor Peter Singer].

There is yet another way in which the evolutionary worldview may be used to justify killing. If we are just the result of chance random processes occurring over millions of years, then there is no such thing as a God to whom we are accountable, which makes it that much easier to commit murder without fear of eternal consequences. For example, consider how a famous serial killer found solace in the theory of evolution:

"If a person doesn't think there is a God to be accountable to, then what's the point of trying to modify your behavior to keep it within an acceptable range? That's how I thought, anyway. I always believed the theory of evolution is truth, that we all just came from slime. When we died, you know, that was it, there's nothing." [Jeffrey Dahmer, NBC interview]